

Consultee	Part(s) of the plan to which comments apply	Issue, comment or concern	Steering Group Response	Action
Sharon Robey	Whole	Like and agree with the aim of improving Caistor in many ways	Support noted	None proposed
	Mill Lane site brief	Proposed number of houses at Mill Lane is too many and should be limited to 4.	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. Economic viability will determine the number of units brought forward subject to design quality considerations which are subject to other policies in the Plan. The site has previously had the benefit of a planning permission for residential development	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs
Helen Pitman	Whole	Policies are supported	Support noted	None proposed
	Market Place design brief	Proposed changes to Market Place are impractical given existing car parking constraints	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The concerns over the Market place and related ideas for South St Park have resulted in the greatest number of negative responses. Although there remains a consensus that the parking issue is a major concern for the town this proposal would not appear to have	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs. Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the Plan.

			support and the design brief will be removed from the final version of the Plan	
		Could not support long term parking in South St Park.		Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the Plan.
Mr & Mrs Headland	Whole	Plan unreadable	Comments noted but the Plan was available in a variety of formats not just the leaflet drop version	None proposed
	Site design briefs	Interested in the developments but don't feel that their opinion counts		None proposed
Chris Allison	Whole	Supports all the policies and the aspirations	Support noted	None proposed
	Policy 8	Would support cycling proposals	Support noted	None proposed
	Health centre design brief	Concern over the scale of the health centre site proposals in the current climate	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable.	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs
Mr Harrison	Mill Lane site design brief	Objects to plans for residential development on former WLDC depot site	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. Economic viability will determine the number of units brought forward subject to design quality considerations	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs

			which are subject to other policies in the Plan. The site has previously had the benefit of a planning permission for residential development	
Mrs M J Smith	Whole	Many ideas have sense and logic	Positive comments noted	None proposed
	Market Place design brief	Concerns raised about the ideas suggested for the Market Place	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The concerns over the Market place and related ideas for South St Park have resulted in the greatest number of negative responses. Although there remains a consensus that the parking issue is a major concern for the town this proposal would not appear to have support and the design brief will be removed from the final version of the Plan	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs. Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the Plan.
	Other	Against proposals by the Town Council to purchase the former Co-op buildings	The Town Council are not pursuing this idea	None proposed
D Morgan	Whole	Most of the plan looks good	Positive comments noted	None proposed
	Health centre design brief	Would not agree to the wholesale redevelopment around the health centre, police station etc	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site

			development that would be suitable.	specific design briefs
	Market Place design brief	Market Place is central to the Conservation and should remain open character ie no trees	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The concerns over the Market place and related ideas for South St Park have resulted in the greatest number of negative responses. Although there remains a consensus that the parking issue is a major concern for the town this proposal would not appear to have support and the design brief will be removed from the final version of the Plan The introduction of trees would not be in conflict with the Conservation Area designation	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs. Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the Plan.
Peter Nuttall	Other	Suggestions made regarding new rail stations	Such aspirations are beyond the scope of the Neighbourhood Plan	None proposed
	Other	Encourage the development of a bike shop	The neighbourhood plan cannot be prescriptive about individual retail uses but a thriving local economy would have the potential to support such enterprises.	None proposed
Miss P Allenby	Market Place design brief	Recognises the need to change the market place but doesn't feel that the proposed idea is appropriate. Other suggestions	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable.	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs.

		made	The concerns over the Market place and related ideas for South St Park have resulted in the greatest number of negative responses. Although there remains a consensus that the parking issue is a major concern for the town this proposal would not appear to have support and the design brief will be removed from the final version of the Plan	Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the Plan.
Mrs Wilmore	Policy 5 – empty homes/ derelict land	In respect of policy 5 asks why nothing has been done to address existing vacant properties eg on Plough Hill	This policy is designed to provide greater power to the Council to address the problems caused by vacant properties	None proposed
Jonathan Holt	Whole	Does not support the plan as drafted and provides justification for this view on key issues	Comments noted	
	Tourism	Concerned that there is very little substance/ ideas for the development of Caistor as a tourist destination. Feels that there needs to be a reason for visiting Caistor. Makes suggestions about developing water features to provide such a feature/ reason.	The consultation processes have identified the tourism potential of the town within the context of the natural environment setting of the area eg adjacent to the AONB. The Town Council are committed to raising the profile of the town and the Plan will provide an enabling policy framework for individual tourism related projects to come forward. The Plan is not intended to provide site specific projects	None proposed

			for consideration in this process	
	Housing	Objects to housing at the bottom of Waterhills.	The Plan does not specifically promote housing development on individual sites. The Plan includes the SHLAA information publically available identifying potential housing sites. The Plan does, however, seek to identify criteria to assist the designation of housing sites through the Local Plan process for Central Lincolnshire.	None proposed
		New housing should be prioritised on brownfield sites – certain sites are suggested along Brigg Road and Plough Hill/ Fountain St areas.	This principle is fully supported within the context of the criteria based aspects of Policy 2. Policy 5 is intended to provide a framework for derelict land and properties to be redeveloped.	None proposed - Policy 2 clearly identifies the importance of developing previously developed land
		Objects to housing across the A46	The road safety issues are acknowledged within the Plan and for this site to be brought forward certain criteria would need to be satisfied. The Plan does not specifically promote housing development on individual sites. The Plan includes the SHLAA information publically available identifying potential housing sites. The Plan does, however, seek to identify criteria to assist the designation of housing sites through the Local Plan	None proposed

			process for Central Lincolnshire.	
		Notes that planning permission already exists on the former hospital site and raises concerns about the increase in size of the town	The work of the Central Lincolnshire Joint Planning unit has identified that Caistor and Market Rasen combined could be expected to deliver some 2500 new houses by 2031. That work may be reviewed given the withdrawal of the Central Lincolnshire Core Strategy. The Plan does not specifically seek to allocate housing sites or identify a specific level of future growth but provides criteria to assist the designation of housing sites through the Local Plan process for Central Lincolnshire	None proposed
	Market Place design brief	No car parking should be allowed within South St Park	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The concerns over the Market place and related ideas for South St Park have resulted in the greatest number of negative responses. Although there remains a consensus that the parking issue is a major concern for the town this proposal would not appear to have support and the design brief will be removed from the final version of the	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs. Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the Plan.

			Plan	
	Caistor Top design brief	No problem with any development on the site but suggests that any access should be off the High St and not the A46	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. A planning application would have to address detailed matters of access in accordance with other Plan policies and highway authority requirements	None proposed
	Parking	Suggestion to use an area of land to the front of Redhill Close(Nettleton road) for additional car parking	The Plan has not brought forward site specific suggestions for new car parking. If this land is within public sector ownership the matter can be reviewed by the Town Council along with the County Council as a potential part solution to parking issues. This comment provides evidence of the nature of the parking problem and the differing views around the town of possible solutions	None proposed
Christine Jeavons	Market Square design brief	No trees in the market square due to impact on openness, damage by tree roots and leaves giving rise to slippery surfaces	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The concerns over the Market place and related ideas for South St Park have resulted in the greatest number of negative responses. Although there remains a consensus that the parking	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs. Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the Plan.

			issue is a major concern for the town this proposal would not appear to have support and the design brief will be removed from the final version of the Plan	
	Mill Lane	Suggests less houses to be brought forward on the site given traffic implications of a larger number.	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. Economic viability will determine the number of units brought forward subject to design quality considerations which are subject to other policies in the Plan. The site has previously had the benefit of a planning permission for residential development	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs
Mr & Mrs Waterman	Whole	Do not fully agree with the Neighbourhood Plan and express concern over the clarity of the leaflet	Comments noted but the Plan was available in a variety of formats not just as the leaflet drop version	None proposed
	Health centre design brief	Concerns expressed over the nature and the scale of the proposals and the implications of the type of new development including potential loss of a Police presence in Caistor	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The expectation would be that all existing uses would be re-provided in a more appropriate purpose built form	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs.

	Caistor Top design brief	Questions raised about the land ownership and type of business units	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The land is in private ownership. The type of business units would be a matter of detail to be considered within a planning application	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs
	Mill Lane site design brief	Questions in respect of the tenure of the housing and proposals for using the land for additional car parking	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. Economic viability will determine the number of units brought forward subject to design quality considerations which are subject to other policies in the Plan. The site has previously had the benefit of a planning permission for residential development. this previous permission provides the site with a land value and it is therefore unlikely that redevelopment for a car parking would be viable. The site is known to be in the ownership of a social housing provider so there is a likelihood if developed that the tenure would be for social housing.	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs
	Market Place design brief	Opening up the Market Place is a good idea but will have an	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an	Plan text to be amended to clarify

		<p>adverse impact on available car parking and concern over potential damage to be caused by trees.</p> <p>Reducing the size of the usable area of the South St Park is not supported as it would reduce the facilities available to the children in Caistor</p>	<p>indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The concerns over the Market place and related ideas for South St Park have resulted in the greatest number of negative responses. Although there remains a consensus that the parking issue is a major concern for the town this proposal would not appear to have support and the design brief will be removed from the final version of the Plan</p>	<p>the status of the site specific design briefs.</p> <p>Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the Plan.</p>
Steve Millson	Whole	<p>Comprehensive document but concerned about the jargon and size of print and fears this will have stopped some people reading it.</p>	<p>Comments noted but the Plan was available in a variety of formats not just as the leaflet drop version</p>	<p>None proposed</p>
	Whole	<p>Believe it covers all relevant criteria and provides a good vision for the future of the town. Fears of the likelihood of schemes seeing the light of day.</p>	<p>Comments noted</p>	<p>None proposed</p>
	Market Place design brief	<p>Would support the overall concept including the parking within South St Park but fears over the likelihood of the vision being delivered</p>	<p>Comments noted but given the wider level of objection to this idea this proposal would not appear to have support and the design brief will be removed from the final version of the Plan</p>	<p>Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the Plan.</p>

	Whole	Broadly happy with the proposed policies and keen to see no 5 in particular delivered	Support noted	None proposed
	Other	Co-op refurbishment is key to the future of the Market Place	Noted and agreed with	None proposed
Alan Caine	Policy 1, 2,11,13, 14, 21, 22 & 23 Aspiration 1 & 2.	Concur with	Support noted	None proposed
	Policy 3	Concur with suggested use of rainwater harvesting, ground source heating etc	Principle agreed with and policy wording will be reviewed.	Rewording of Policy 3 to include this as a design standard
	Policy 5	Concur but with emphasis on early use of CPO powers	This has been a key issue through the Plan consultation processes. The phrasing of a policy to provide the necessary weight to deliver action and be legally enforceable is an issue requiring further discussion with WLDC	Proposed meeting with WLDC to agree policy wording
	Policy 6	Concur but needs to include promotion of broadband etc to allow for home working	It is considered that policy 12 provides the basis for this being achieved	None proposed
	Policy 7	Concur and encourage the funding of traffic/ road improvements	If the Plan is made it will provide the basis for enhanced funding bids. The policy itself cannot bring forward funding	None proposed
	Policy 8	Concur and encourage town centre cycle racks or security rail/ eyes	If the Plan is made it will provide the basis for enhanced funding bids to deliver such facilities. A made plan will	None proposed

			also release access to CIL or S106 funding that could be prioritised for such infrastructure. The policy itself cannot bring forward funding	
	Policy 9	Concur and need to build on the Humber based off shore industry	Such new developments in the wider local area should provide the impetus for local area spin off development that would be facilitated by this policy	None proposed to the policy but supporting text will make this link clearer
	Policy 10	Concur and ensure no further loss of retail units	Review the policy wording to include A3 retail units within the policy	Amend policy wording
	Policy 12	Comments made about levels and types of connectivity. Comments made emphasising the importance of connectivity to economic development potential eg working from home.	Comments noted	None proposed
	Policy 15	Concur and encourage hotel/ guest house uses.	Policy as written provides such support	None proposed
	Policy 16	Concur and improve linkages from Market Place into other areas eg Cornhill, South St	Policy as written will provide a framework for delivering new signage within priorities identified by the Town Council and secured through planning agreements	None proposed
	Policy 17	Concur and recognizing the importance of the schools as major employers in the town	Comments noted	None proposed
	Policy 18	Concur and ensure linkages made with the Humber Bank	Comments noted	None proposed to the policy but supporting text will make this

				link clearer
	Policy 19	Concur with suggested use of rainwater harvesting, ground source heating etc	Principle agreed with and policy wording will be reviewed.	Rewording of Policy 3 to include this as a design standard
	Policy 20	Concur but need to be aware of the potential impact on the AONB and impact on residential areas within the town.	Comments noted	None proposed to the policy but supporting text will be amended to emphasise the contextual issues of the AONB and minimizing adverse impact on residents.
	Parking/ Mill Lane site design brief	Need for additional car parking close to the town centre is essential. Suggestion that the Mill Lane site could deliver parking along with new housing development	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. Economic viability will determine the number of units brought forward subject to design quality considerations which are subject to other policies in the Plan. The site has previously had the benefit of a planning permission for residential development. this previous permission provides the site with a land value and it is therefore unlikely that redevelopment for a car parking would be viable.	None proposed Meeting to be arranged with site owner to discuss their timescales for delivery of new housing and to consider options for linking development to car parking
	Market Place design brief	Pedestrianisation of the market place is not supported. Need to	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an	Plan text to be amended to clarify

		improve car parking offer to assist with town centre retail offer	indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The concerns over the Market place and related ideas for South St Park have resulted in the greatest number of negative responses. Although there remains a consensus that the parking issue is a major concern for the town this proposal would not appear to have support and the design brief will be removed from the final version of the Plan	the status of the site specific design briefs. Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the Plan.
Geoff & Wendy Handford	Whole	Cannot give a categorical yes or no since agree with some and disagree with other parts of the Plan	Comments noted	None proposed
	Aspiration 1	Impact of traffic along Brigg road is about more than just road safety and needs to address noise, pollution, speed etc	Comments noted and accepted. Additional bullet points to be added to ensure that these issues are identified as matters requiring long term solutions	Wording to be amended
	Maps	Concerns raised about the scale and accuracy of the maps	The detailed points raised will all be reviewed and changes made where appropriate or the inaccuracies pointed out are agreed with.	Amendments to be made as required
	Housing	Identified possible housing site north of the Sports Field	The Plan does not specifically promote housing development on individual sites. The Plan includes the SHLAA information publically available	None proposed

			<p>identifying potential housing sites. The Plan does, however, seek to identify criteria to assist the designation of housing sites through the Local Plan process for Central Lincolnshire. It would be for the landowner to make representations for this site through the Central Lincolnshire Joint Planning Unit</p>	
	Housing	<p>Concerns raised over the safety , physical and psychological barriers for housing to the south of the A46</p>	<p>The road safety issues are acknowledged within the Plan and for this site to be brought forward certain criteria would need to be satisfied. The Plan does not specifically promote housing development on individual sites. The Plan includes the SHLAA information publically available identifying potential housing sites. The Plan does, however, seek to identify criteria to assist the designation of housing sites through the Local Plan process for Central Lincolnshire.</p>	None proposed
	Market Place design brief	<p>Like the general design and introduction of trees. Concern son the impact of clutter. Recognise the importance of reverting the Co-op to historic uses but concerned about viability</p>	<p>The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The concerns over the Market place and related ideas for South St Park have resulted in the greatest number of negative responses. Although there</p>	<p>Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs.</p> <p>Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the</p>

			remains a consensus that the parking issue is a major concern for the town this proposal would not appear to have support and the design brief will be removed from the final version of the Plan	Plan.
	Mill Lane site design brief	Feel site should be used as a car park and have concerns about inadequate on site parking for the proposed residential use	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. Economic viability will determine the number of units brought forward subject to design quality considerations which are subject to other policies in the Plan. The site has previously had the benefit of a planning permission for residential development. this previous permission provides the site with a land value and it is therefore unlikely that redevelopment for a car parking would be viable.	None proposed Meeting to be arranged with site owner to discuss their timescales for delivery of new housing and to consider options for linking development to car parking
	Health centre site design brief	Overly ambitious. Feel it would be more sustainable to refurbish existing buildings Car parking too small and remote from the Market Place.	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The expectation would be that all existing uses would be re-provided in a more appropriate purpose built form	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs.

	Caistor Top design brief	Welcome the recognition of the site as a beacon portal to the town. Feel that a hotel would be more prestigious than business units. Concern over parking and highway access to the site.	The design brief has been written to reflect the need for any planning application to address highway safety needs and requirements of the County Council	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs
	Whole	Concerns over spelling, grammatical and punctuation errors. Concerns over use of jargon and technical terms. Editing into Plain English is recommended	Comments noted. Further proof reading to occur to eliminate errors. Some use of jargon and technical language is necessary given the legal standing of the document should it be made and form part of the WLDC Development Plan.	Amendments to be made as required
	Whole	Appreciative of the work that has gone into the Plan production. Agree with most of the policies and aspirations and like the way there is a balance between respecting the past and the incorporation of modern ideas. If the principles of the plan can be adhered to Caistor will be a better place for decades to come	Comments noted	None proposed
Angela Lawrence	Whole	Fully supports the Plan. It provides a blueprint for the future of the town following full consultation with the Community.	Support noted	None proposed
Graham	Whole	There is much about the plan that		

Dobson		we agree with and fully support but can't agree with the draft plan given the South St Park proposals.		
	Market Place design brief	Rasie concerns over the suitability and appropriateness of the use of South St Park for car parking given the loss of an asset, conflict between uses, need and road safety concerns regarding the use of South St.	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The concerns over the Market place and related ideas for South St Park have resulted in the greatest number of negative responses. Although there remains a consensus that the parking issue is a major concern for the town this proposal would not appear to have support and the design brief will be removed from the final version of the Plan	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs. Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the Plan.
Sheila Broster	Whole	In favour of the Plan recognizing the thought that has gone into it which should be of benefit to the town	Support noted	None proposed
Professor Lewis	Whole	Pleased to see that a Plan is being considered given what appears to be previously debatable planning decisions.	Comments noted	None proposed
	Toursim	Concerns over the likely success of developing as a tourist	Beyond the scope of the Plan and outside of the Plan area	None proposed

		destination given the absence of a single tourist feature. Thought should be given to reinstating a plan for the Caistor Canal		
	Vandalism	Fears expressed over the potential negative impact of tourism on anti-social behaviour and vandalism	Comments noted	None proposed
	Design	Pleasing to note that style, scale and materials are acknowledged as being important and hopes that planning decisions will reflect these aspirations	Comments noted	None proposed
	Policy 5	Welcomes the emphasis on resolving this issue but feels it should be given greater emphasis	Comments noted and further dialogue will be entered into with WLDC to ensure that the policy delivers the aspirations of the Community	Proposed meeting with WLDC to agree policy wording
	Health centre design brief	Cannot understand the proposal to demolish modern buildings. Considers that refurbishment of some buildings would be more appropriate and that there should be acknowledgement of noise impacts from the A46 on the site	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The expectation would be that all existing uses would be re-provided in a more appropriate purpose built form	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs.
	Caistor Top design brief	Speculative proposal based on jargon of a gateway to the town. Feels that any development needs to be led by remodeling of	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable.	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs

		the access and junction. Feels that a hotel is highly optimistic and that more thought should be given to high tech SME type business units.	The land is in private ownership The type of business units would be a matter of detail to be considered within a planning application	
	Mill Lane site design brief	Use for housing is ideal	Support noted	None proposed
	Market Place design brief and former Co-op building	Concerns over risks of Town Council acquiring the former Co-op building. Welcomes the idea of tree planting in the market place	The Town Council are not pursuing purchase of the Co-op building. The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The concerns over the Market place and related ideas for South St Park have resulted in the greatest number of negative responses. Although there remains a consensus that the parking issue is a major concern for the town this proposal would not appear to have support and the design brief will be removed from the final version of the Plan	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs. Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the Plan.
	Whole	The plan has several good points indicating thoughtful direction and good intention but feels it is speculative in some areas.	Comments noted. The site specific proposals are designed to be indicative and as such will not be subject to formal examination through the due process of the Plan preparation. It is hoped that	None proposed

			they will provide an impetus for detailed schemes to be brought forward by investors	
Mike Stopper	Whole	Work reflects a great deal of effort and expertise. But the leaflet drop fell short as an information exercise.it is not felt that the right compromise between format, content and volume of material was arrived at.	Comments noted but the Plan was available in a variety of formats not just as the leaflet drop version. It was felt that the leaflet as produced was more appropriate than the use of a flyer directing people either to the website or the physical plan. The nature and detail of the comments received would indicate that the consultation has been successful for those that took the time to fully engage in the process	None proposed
Mrs Fowler	Market place design brief	Loss of car parking spaces is wrong and will adversely affect business. Feel that the ideas are not acceptable on safety grounds. Tree planting will affect access to their business. Where will square residents park their cars?	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The concerns over the Market place and related ideas for South St Park have resulted in the greatest number of negative responses. Although there remains a consensus that the parking issue is a major concern for the town this proposal would not appear to have support and the design brief will be removed from the final version of the Plan.	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs. Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the Plan.
	Whole	Emphatically do not agree with	Comments noted	None proposed

		the plan		
	Caistor Top design brief	It is wrong to lose the existing uses for a London funded development	The landowner has been directly involved in the Plan making process and has not raised any concerns	None proposed
	Health centre design brief	Objects to the detail of the design brief	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The expectation would be that all existing uses would be re-provided in a more appropriate purpose built form	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs.
	Housing	Concerns raised on numbers, locations and Govt/ European dictats	The Plan does not specifically promote housing development on individual sites. The Plan includes the SHLAA information publically available identifying potential housing sites. The Plan does, however, seek to identify criteria to assist the designation of housing sites through the Local Plan process for Central Lincolnshire.	None proposed
	Whole	Concerned that the detail has been driven by small group of individuals which will ruin what the majority of ordinary folk wanted	The process has been subject to extensive public consultation and engagement so the detail of the Plan responds to community views and opinions but by necessity involves some compromises	None proposed
WLDC Empty Homes Officer	Policy 5	A blanket policy on use of CPO powers is not in the public interest of enforceable so revised	Whilst the legal requirements are acknowledged it is felt that this has been one of the key priorities throughout the	Proposed meeting with WLDC to agree policy wording

		wording is proposed to Policy 5: 'If at any agreed milestone the agreed process has not been made WLDC will take the most appropriate course of action considering all available enforcement powers to include Compulsory Purchase Order, Empty Dwelling Management Order, Enforced Sale and Housing Act Enforcement Notices, whilst promoting appropriate arrangements to ensure a suitable end use for the property.'	Plan preparation process and there are concerns that if the Policy is watered down the ability of the Policy to make a real difference will be compromised. The question is put back to WLDC is how does this proposed policy wording add any greater weight to their existing approach which has not to date proved to be successful? It is important to ensure that this Policy delivers the community's wishes and aspirations so a face to face meeting is considered to be an appropriate way forward	
Chris Robey	Whole	Recognises work and effort gone into the Plan and is overall supportive of the Plan. But questions whether it goes far enough	Comments noted	None proposed
	Market Place	Feels the area needs pedestrianizing to be able to compete with places like Brigg. It is felt that parking is what actually runs the market place and people should accept a slightly longer walk into the market place.	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The concerns over the Market place and related ideas for South St Park have resulted in the greatest number of negative responses. Although there remains a consensus that the parking issue is a major concern for the town	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs. Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the Plan.

			this proposal would not appear to have support and the design brief will be removed from the final version of the Plan.	
	Mill Lane	Feels that the long term use of the site should be a as a car park but if it is to be developed for housing the number should be a maximum of 6.	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. Economic viability will determine the number of units brought forward subject to design quality considerations which are subject to other policies in the Plan. The site has previously had the benefit of a planning permission for residential development. this previous permission provides the site with a land value and it is therefore unlikely that redevelopment for a car parking would be viable.	None proposed Meeting to be arranged with site owner to discuss their timescales for delivery of new housing and to consider options for linking development to car parking
	Co-op building	Should be redeveloped for small boutique units	Support noted and the design brief and other policies would facilitate this type of development	None proposed
	Health centre design brief	Agrees with need for comprehensive redevelopment	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The expectation would be that all existing uses would be re-provided in a more appropriate purpose built form	Plan text to be amended to clarify the status of the site specific design briefs.

	Arts & Heritage Centre	Concerns raised over future funding and feels that the use of the building should be extended to ensure retention of the asset for the town.	Noted but the future funding of the Arts & heritage centre is beyond the remit of the Plan	None proposed
	Aspiration 1	Supported but speed restrictions should be extended along North Kelsey Road	Noted and further bullet points to be added re speed restrictions	Wording to be amended
	Whole	Policies all seem good but stresses the need for developers to support new school provision	Support noted. The existing mechanism for school contributions is via S106 contributions and in the future this may be via CIL	None proposed
	Tourism	Promotion of the town via the "Gateway to the Wolds" with appropriate facilities	It is believed that policies as drafted will facilitate the delivery of this aspect of the Plan	None proposed
Natural England	Whole	Generally welcomes the Plan and considers it provides the framework for achieving the sustainable development of Caistor	Comments noted	None proposed
	AONB	Should be greater emphasis on the importance of the AONB. Specific comments are made on amending the policy wording and supporting text in Policies 13, 20, and 21.	The importance of the AONB is acknowledged and the proposed changes are considered acceptable and will be incorporated	Wording to be amended in line with comments received
Environment Agency	Policies 19, 20 & 21	These policies are welcomed	Comments noted	None proposed
	Policy 21	Information is held by the EA on	Comments noted	None proposed

		licensed abstractions and the status of watercourses which can be shared if required		
The Coal Authority	Whole	Caistor Parish area is outside of the current defined coalfield and therefore there are no specific comments to make. There is no need for further consultation with the Coal Authority	Comments noted	None proposed
Lincolnshire Wolds Countryside Service	Whole	The Plan clearly provides an opportunity to help guide future sustainable development across the town and builds upon previous community work.	Support noted	None proposed
	AONB	Would welcome an emphasis on Caistor's important role as a physical and intellectual/ cultural gateway to the Lincolnshire Wolds AONB. Detailed wording changes are proposed designed to improve the contextual setting of the town and it's relationship with the AONB.	All changes are considered to be appropriate and will be made in the text	Amended wording
	Policy 2	Strongly support	Noted	None proposed
	Policy 3	Strongly support but need to ensure that the design issues relate to the setting and viewpoints from the AONB	Suggested change to identify the importance of the AONB accepted	Wording to be amended

	Policy 8	Strongly support although need to balance signage with need to minimize visual clutter	Comments noted and supporting text will be amended accordingly	Wording to be amended
	Policy 13	Would welcome a more specific reference to the AONB. Future developments will need to focus on encouraging and supporting a sustainable end viable tourism infrastructure	Comments noted and supporting text will be amended accordingly	Wording to be amended
	Policy 14	Strongly support	Noted	None proposed
	Policy 16	Strongly support although need to balance signage with need to minimize visual clutter	Comments noted and supporting text will be amended accordingly	Wording to be amended
	Policy 21	Strongly support. Signposted to relevant background papers	Noted and evidence base will be updated	Evidence base to be amended
Mike Stockwood	Tourism.	I am of the opinion that Caistor has nothing to encourage the tourist to drive for miles. Does not believe that Caistor has any semblance of touristy attractions.	The consultation processes have identified the tourism potential of the town within the context of the natural environment setting of the area eg adjacent to the AONB. The Town Council are committed to raising the profile of the town and the Plan will provide an enabling policy framework for individual tourism related projects to come forward. The Plan is not intended to provide site specific projects for consideration in this process	None proposed
	Market Square design brief	I fully support the proposal for the Market Square. Previously	The support for these ideas is noted. The site specific proposal is indicative	Plan text to be amended to clarify

		submitted the view that the center of the market square should be returned to a square enabling an increase in parking spaces with room for some tree planting	only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The concerns over the Market place and related ideas for South St Park have resulted in the greatest number of negative responses. Although there remains a consensus that the parking issue is a major concern for the town this proposal would not appear to have support and the design brief will be removed from the final version of the Plan.	the status of the site specific design briefs. Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the Plan.
	Business units.	Having spoken to a number of small business owners in Caistor all have expressed the need for business units to enable expansion and to encourage new businesses, this does not seem to be addressed in detail within the plan.	The need for business units is recognized through Policy 9 and it is considered that this does provide the opportunity for proposals to be positively considered. The Caistor Top design brief would also facilitate these type of units within the right scheme.	None proposed
	South St Park	I strongly object to any proposed parking on this gem of a park, it should be left for the children in total.	Given the concerns raised the strength of feeling on this issue is recognised	Remove the Market Place/ South St Park design brief from the Plan.
	Future Housing Development	I am of the opinion that we must use our brownfield sites as the first priority and then develop	This principle is fully supported within the context of the criteria based aspects of Policy 2.	Policy 2 wording to be amended to emphasise the

		the Brigg Rd area.	Policy 5 is intended to provide a framework for derelict land and properties to be redeveloped.	importance of bringing forward previously developed land.
	South Dale.	I disagree with the proposal to demolish perfectly good accomodation which is occupied by the elderly particularly the bungalows, you would have my full support if your proposal was to demolish the ACIS flats on Fountain Street and Plough Hill they are clearly without doubt a utter disgrace.	The site specific proposal is indicative only and is intended to provide an indication of the type and form of new development that would be suitable. The expectation would be that all existing uses would be re-provided in a more appropriate purpose built form	None proposed
Phil Manning	Whole	Recognises the great work that has been carried out to develop the Neighbourhood Plan. Having been attracted to move to Caistor and develop a new business, it has not been an easy project, but we have got there. I was impressed to see the new developments i.e. health centre, new parking in town etc, obviously the focus on Tourism and increased signage is a major bonus to the town, feedback from clients visiting our site have been very positive, although it's	Support noted and seen to endorse the approach to developing a tourism based offer for the town with appropriate related development	None proposed

		difficult to get the message across to locals who think Caistor has nothing to offer, we are selling a culture and a great place to relax.		
English Heritage	Policy 14	Concern raised over ambiguity of policy wording	Noted	None proposed
	Housing allocations	Concerns raised about the sites being allocated for housing development	The Plan does not seek to allocate sites. The information being referred to is the SHLAA evidence which has been communicated to English Heritage	Plan text has been amended to stress the evidence role of the maps included in the Plan